Bipartisan Zionism: Analyzing the Convergence of US Left and Right Support for Israel Amid Contemporary Political Shifts

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

July 22, 2024

In an era marked by deep political divisions, the convergence of support for Zionism between the US Left and Right stands out as a unique phenomenon. Historically rooted in the early 20th century and reinforced through pivotal events such as the establishment of Israel in 1948 and subsequent conflicts, this bipartisan consensus has remained resilient. The strategic alliance between the US and Israel, underscored by military cooperation, intelligence sharing, and cultural exchanges, reflects shared democratic values and mutual interests. Recent political developments, including President Biden's endorsement of Vice President Harris and her balanced stance on Israel, highlight the ongoing relevance of this alliance. Despite internal frictions and contentious issues like settlements and the peace process, the bipartisan support for Israel continues to shape US foreign policy, demonstrating the enduring strategic and cultural bonds between the two nations.

Keywords: Bipartisan Zionism, US-Israel relations, political polarization, military aid, intelligence sharing, cultural exchange, democratic values, geopolitical strategy, evangelical support, human rights, settlements, peace process, ICJ ruling, US foreign policy, strategic alliance, Kamala Harris, Joe Biden, Israeli-Palestinian conflict.

I. Introduction

Context and Importance

In today's highly polarized political landscape, finding areas of consensus between the US Left and Right is exceptionally rare. Political divisions often dominate discourse, leading to gridlock and deepening societal rifts. However, amidst this polarization, support for Zionism—defined as the movement advocating for the establishment and support of a Jewish homeland in Israel—stands out as a notable exception. This bipartisan agreement is intriguing because it unites diverse political factions that typically oppose each other on most issues.

Overview of Political Polarization in the US

The United States has seen increasing polarization over the past few decades. Issues such as healthcare, immigration, climate change, and gun control starkly divide Democrats and Republicans. This division is reflected not only in legislative stalemates but also in social attitudes and media consumption patterns, where each side often lives in echo chambers that reinforce their beliefs. The result is a political environment where compromise is rare, and bipartisanship is seen as a relic of the past.

Introduction to the Rare Consensus on Zionism

Amid this backdrop of division, the consistent support for Israel by both the Left and Right in the United States is remarkable. This consensus transcends the usual partisan boundaries and is evident in legislative support, public opinion, and foreign policy stances. For instance, both Democratic and Republican administrations have historically maintained strong ties with Israel, providing military aid, diplomatic backing, and economic support. This agreement is particularly significant given the contentious nature of Middle Eastern politics and the varied motivations behind each side's support for Israel.

Thesis Statement

Despite differing motivations, both the US Left and Right show robust support for Zionism, reflecting a unique bipartisan agreement. This support is driven by a combination of historical justice, human rights advocacy, religious beliefs, geopolitical strategy, and cultural admiration. The convergence on this issue

highlights a rare instance where political, cultural, and ideological divides are bridged, offering a unique lens through which to examine bipartisan cooperation in American politics.

This paper will explore the historical context, perspectives from both sides of the political spectrum, points of convergence, challenges and criticisms, and the implications for US foreign policy. By understanding the factors that unite the US Left and Right in their support for Zionism, we can gain insights into how bipartisan consensus can be achieved on other critical issues.

II. Historical Context

Early 20th Century to 1948

Initial Zionist Movements and Early American Support

The Zionist movement began in the late 19th century, driven by the vision of establishing a Jewish homeland in Palestine. This movement gained momentum with the publication of Theodor Herzl's "Der Judenstaat" in 1896, which called for the creation of a sovereign Jewish state as a solution to anti-Semitism in Europe. The early 20th century saw increasing Jewish immigration to Palestine, fueled by the Balfour Declaration of 1917. This British statement of support for "the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people" significantly boosted Zionist aspirations and garnered international attention.

In the United States, support for Zionism was initially limited to Jewish communities and certain political figures sympathetic to the cause. Prominent American Jews, such as Louis Brandeis, a future Supreme Court Justice, played a crucial role in mobilizing American Jewish support for Zionism. The American Zionist Movement, established in 1897, grew in influence during the early 20th century, advocating for Jewish immigration to Palestine and garnering support from various segments of American society.

Post-World War II and the Establishment of Israel

US Recognition of Israel and Bipartisan Support

The aftermath of World War II and the Holocaust dramatically shifted global attitudes towards Zionism. The genocide of six million Jews underscored the necessity for a secure Jewish homeland, leading to widespread international sympathy for the Zionist cause. In 1947, the United Nations proposed the partition of Palestine into separate Jewish and Arab states, a plan accepted by the Jewish leadership but rejected by the Arab states.

On May 14, 1948, David Ben-Gurion proclaimed the establishment of the State of Israel. The United States, under President Harry Truman, was one of the first countries to recognize the new state, just minutes after the declaration. This recognition was a significant diplomatic victory for Zionists and marked the beginning of robust American support for Israel. Truman's decision was influenced by humanitarian concerns, the geopolitical strategy of having a democratic ally in the Middle East, and domestic political considerations, including the support of American Jews.

This early recognition set the stage for bipartisan support in the following decades. Both Democratic and Republican administrations maintained strong ties with Israel, providing military, economic, and diplomatic assistance. The US-Israel relationship was solidified through mutual interests and shared democratic values.

Major Conflicts and US Involvement

The Six-Day War, Yom Kippur War, and Subsequent American Responses

The Six-Day War in 1967 was a pivotal moment in the history of Israel and its relationship with the United States. Facing threats from neighboring Arab states, Israel launched a preemptive strike and achieved a stunning victory, capturing the Sinai Peninsula, the West Bank, the Gaza Strip, East Jerusalem, and the Golan Heights. This victory significantly altered the geopolitical landscape of the Middle East and reinforced the US's strategic alliance with Israel. The Johnson administration's support for Israel during this period included military aid and diplomatic backing, emphasizing the importance of Israel's security to American interests in the region.

The Yom Kippur War of 1973 further tested this alliance. Egypt and Syria launched a surprise attack on Israel on the holiest day of the Jewish calendar, leading to intense fighting. The US, under President Richard Nixon and Secretary of State Henry Kissinger, conducted a massive airlift of military supplies to support Israel, demonstrating a steadfast commitment to the Israeli state. This support was crucial for Israel's eventual success in repelling the attackers.

These conflicts and the American responses underscored the strategic importance of the US-Israel relationship. Subsequent administrations, regardless of party affiliation, continued to provide significant military aid and diplomatic support, ensuring Israel's security and stability in a volatile region.

These historical events highlight the enduring bipartisan support for Zionism in the United States. From early 20th-century advocacy to pivotal moments of conflict and recognition, both Democratic and Republican administrations have consistently supported the establishment and security of the Jewish state. This historical context provides a foundation for understanding the unique bipartisan agreement on Zionism amidst an otherwise polarized political landscape.

III. Recent Political Developments

Biden's Delegation to Harris

Context of Biden Giving Harris His Delegates for the 2024 Election

In a significant political move, President Joe Biden decided to give his delegates to Vice President Kamala Harris for the 2024 presidential election. This decision came amid growing speculation about Biden's potential re-election bid and considerations about his age and health. By endorsing Harris, Biden aimed to unify the Democratic Party and ensure a seamless transition of leadership within his administration. This move underscores Biden's confidence in Harris's capabilities and her alignment with his policy objectives, including those related to foreign policy and the US-Israel relationship.

Implications for US-Israel Policy

Harris's potential ascendancy to the presidency has important implications for US-Israel policy. As Vice President, Harris has consistently supported Israel's right to

defend itself while also emphasizing the need for humanitarian considerations in the ongoing conflict with Gaza. Her approach reflects a balance between maintaining strong US-Israel ties and addressing the humanitarian concerns that arise from military engagements in the region.

Under a Harris administration, it is likely that the US would continue its robust support for Israel in terms of military aid and diplomatic backing. However, Harris may also push for increased efforts to address the humanitarian crisis in Gaza, advocating for measures that ensure the protection of civilian lives and access to necessary aid. This dual approach aims to sustain the strategic alliance with Israel while also recognizing and mitigating the humanitarian impacts of the conflict.

Vice President Kamala Harris's Stance

Strong Support for Israel

Vice President Kamala Harris has demonstrated strong support for Israel throughout her political career. She has repeatedly affirmed Israel's right to defend itself against terrorist threats and has backed substantial military aid packages to ensure Israel's security. Harris's stance aligns with the long-standing bipartisan tradition in the US of providing unwavering support to Israel, rooted in shared democratic values and strategic interests.

Calls for Humanitarian Considerations in Gaza

Despite her strong support for Israel, Harris has also called for addressing humanitarian concerns in the Gaza Strip. She has emphasized the importance of ensuring that military actions do not disproportionately affect civilians and has advocated for measures that facilitate the delivery of humanitarian aid to those in need. This balanced perspective seeks to uphold Israel's security while also responding to international calls for protecting human rights and minimizing civilian casualties in conflict zones.

Harris's position on Israel and Gaza highlights her pragmatic approach to foreign policy. By supporting Israel's defense capabilities and advocating for humanitarian considerations, she aims to navigate the complex dynamics of Middle Eastern politics. This approach is likely to continue shaping US-Israel relations, ensuring that the alliance remains strong while also addressing the humanitarian challenges that arise from ongoing conflicts.

IV. The Left's Perspective on Zionism

Historical Justice and Human Rights

The Holocaust and the Argument for a Jewish Homeland

The left-wing support for Zionism is deeply rooted in the historical context of the Holocaust. The genocide of six million Jews during World War II underscored the necessity of a safe and sovereign homeland for Jewish people. For many on the Left, the establishment of Israel in 1948 was seen as a moral imperative to ensure that Jews would never again face such existential threats without a state of their own. This perspective is grounded in the principles of historical justice and human rights, recognizing the profound need for a refuge and a center of Jewish cultural and religious life.

Progressive Jewish Groups and Liberal Christian Organizations

Support for Israel Through a Humanitarian Lens

Progressive Jewish groups and liberal Christian organizations have been strong advocates for Israel, viewing the establishment of the Jewish state as a response to centuries of persecution and as a means to secure human rights for Jews. Organizations like J Street and T'ruah, for example, support Israel's right to exist while also emphasizing the need for a two-state solution and the protection of Palestinian human rights. These groups advocate for policies that promote peace, justice, and coexistence, reflecting a broader humanitarian ethos that aligns with progressive values.

Liberal Christian organizations, such as the United Methodist Church and certain segments of the Presbyterian Church (USA), have also expressed support for Israel based on similar humanitarian principles. They often frame their support within the context of reconciliation and peace-building efforts, advocating for dialogue and understanding between Israelis and Palestinians.

Israel as a Democratic Beacon

Advances in Democracy, Technology, Healthcare, and LGBT Rights

Israel's status as a democratic state in the Middle East resonates strongly with progressive values. The country is often highlighted as a beacon of democracy,

characterized by its free elections, vibrant civil society, and robust legal system. Additionally, Israel's advancements in technology and innovation, particularly in fields such as information technology, biotechnology, and environmental sustainability, are frequently lauded by progressives.

Israel's healthcare system, which provides universal coverage, is another point of admiration. The country's achievements in medical research and public health initiatives align with progressive goals of accessible and high-quality healthcare for all.

Furthermore, Israel's progressive stance on LGBT rights stands out in the region. The country is known for its legal protections for LGBT individuals, recognition of same-sex partnerships, and vibrant LGBT community, particularly in cities like Tel Aviv. These advancements resonate with the Left's commitment to equality and human rights.

Criticisms from the Left

Policies Towards Palestinians and the Peace Process

Despite their support for Israel's right to exist, many progressives are critical of its policies towards Palestinians. Key areas of criticism include the expansion of settlements in the West Bank, the blockade of Gaza, and the overall handling of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Progressives argue that these policies undermine the prospects for a two-state solution and contribute to the suffering of Palestinian civilians.

There is significant concern about the humanitarian impact of the Israeli occupation and the use of military force in densely populated areas. Progressive critics often call for a more balanced approach that addresses the security needs of Israel while also upholding the rights and dignity of Palestinians. They advocate for renewed efforts in peace negotiations, emphasizing the importance of achieving a just and lasting resolution to the conflict.

The Left's perspective on Zionism is thus characterized by a commitment to historical justice, human rights, and democratic values, balanced with critical engagement on issues related to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. This nuanced stance reflects the complexity of the issue and the diverse motivations behind progressive support for Israel.

V. The Right's Perspective on Zionism

Religious Beliefs

Evangelical Christian Support and Biblical Prophecies

A significant portion of right-wing support for Zionism in the United States stems from evangelical Christian beliefs. Evangelical Christians, who make up a substantial segment of the Republican base, often support Israel based on biblical prophecies that foresee the return of Jews to the Holy Land as a precursor to the Second Coming of Jesus Christ. This religious conviction is deeply rooted in their interpretation of the Bible, particularly the Old Testament, which they believe promises the land of Israel to the Jewish people.

Prominent evangelical leaders and organizations, such as John Hagee and Christians United for Israel (CUFI), have been vocal advocates for strong US support of Israel. They organize events, lobby politicians, and mobilize grassroots efforts to ensure that American policy remains favorable towards Israel. This religiously motivated support underscores a spiritual connection to the land of Israel, which they view as divinely ordained and crucial to their eschatological beliefs.

Geopolitical Strategy

Israel as a Crucial Ally in the Middle East

From a geopolitical standpoint, many conservatives view Israel as a vital ally in a strategically important and volatile region. Israel's location in the Middle East, its military capabilities, and its democratic governance make it a key partner in countering threats from hostile regimes and terrorist organizations. The alignment of US and Israeli interests in combating radical Islamist movements, such as Hezbollah and Hamas, and countering the influence of Iran is a cornerstone of right-wing foreign policy.

The strategic alliance is further solidified by extensive military cooperation, intelligence sharing, and joint defense initiatives. American conservatives see Israel as a bulwark against the spread of extremism and a stabilizing force in the region. This geopolitical partnership is viewed as essential for maintaining regional security and protecting US interests abroad.

Cultural and Economic Admiration

Free-Market Economy and Stance Against Radical Islam

Cultural and economic admiration also plays a significant role in the right-wing support for Zionism. Conservatives often praise Israel's free-market economy, entrepreneurial spirit, and technological innovations. Israel's transformation into a "Start-Up Nation" is seen as a model of economic success, driven by innovation, deregulation, and a competitive business environment. This aligns with conservative values that prioritize economic freedom, private enterprise, and limited government intervention.

Additionally, Israel's firm stance against radical Islam resonates with conservative values. The right-wing perspective often highlights Israel's role in confronting terrorism and defending Western civilization's values against fundamentalist threats. This alignment on security issues strengthens the ideological bond between American conservatives and Israeli policies.

Criticisms from the Right

Overemphasis on Religious Narratives and Settlement Policies

Despite their strong support, some right-wing critics express concerns about the potential overemphasis on religious narratives in shaping US foreign policy towards Israel. They argue that while religious motivations are significant, US policy should primarily be driven by strategic interests and pragmatic considerations. This viewpoint suggests that an overly religious approach could complicate diplomatic efforts and alienate other important regional players.

There is also debate within right-wing circles regarding Israel's settlement policies in the West Bank. While many conservatives support Israel's right to build and expand settlements, some express concerns that such actions might hinder the peace process and provoke international criticism. These critics advocate for a more balanced approach that supports Israel's security needs while also considering the broader implications for regional stability and peace negotiations.

Conclusion

The right-wing perspective on Zionism is multifaceted, encompassing deep religious beliefs, strategic geopolitical considerations, cultural and economic

admiration, and nuanced critiques. This complex support underscores the diverse motivations behind conservative backing of Israel, highlighting a blend of spiritual convictions, strategic alliances, and shared values that shape the US-Israel relationship. Understanding these perspectives provides insight into the sustained bipartisan support for Zionism in American politics, despite the broader context of polarization and division.

VI. Common Ground: Points of Convergence

Security Cooperation

Military Aid, Intelligence Sharing, and Joint Defense Initiatives

One of the strongest areas of convergence between the US Left and Right in their support for Zionism is in the realm of security cooperation. Both political spectrums agree on the importance of providing robust military aid to Israel. The US has consistently been Israel's largest benefactor, providing billions of dollars in military assistance annually. This aid ensures that Israel maintains a qualitative military edge over potential adversaries in the region, contributing to its ability to defend itself against various threats.

Intelligence sharing between the US and Israel is another critical component of their security cooperation. Both countries benefit from the exchange of vital intelligence on regional threats, including terrorist organizations and hostile states. This collaboration has led to numerous joint operations and the prevention of attacks, enhancing the security of both nations.

Joint defense initiatives further illustrate the deep security ties. The development and deployment of missile defense systems, such as Iron Dome, David's Sling, and Arrow, are products of US-Israel collaboration. These systems protect Israeli civilians from rocket and missile attacks, demonstrating a tangible commitment to Israel's security.

Cultural and Technological Exchange

Collaborations in Science, Technology, and Culture

The US and Israel have a rich history of collaboration in science, technology, and culture, which forms another area of bipartisan support. Israel is renowned for its technological innovations, particularly in fields such as cybersecurity, medical technology, and agriculture. American companies and institutions frequently partner with Israeli counterparts to develop cutting-edge technologies and bring innovative solutions to market.

The bilateral cooperation extends to scientific research, with numerous joint projects and research initiatives funded by both governments and private entities. Programs such as the Binational Industrial Research and Development (BIRD) Foundation and the Binational Science Foundation (BSF) facilitate collaboration between American and Israeli researchers, fostering innovation and technological advancement.

Cultural exchanges also play a significant role in strengthening US-Israel relations. Programs that promote educational and cultural exchanges, such as Birthright Israel and Fulbright scholarships, allow young Americans and Israelis to experience each other's cultures firsthand. These exchanges build mutual understanding and reinforce the shared values and democratic principles that underpin the alliance.

Commitment to Democracy

Recognition of Israel as a Democratic State in the Middle East

Both the US Left and Right recognize and support Israel's status as a democratic state in the Middle East. This shared commitment to democracy is a cornerstone of the bilateral relationship. Israel's democratic institutions, including free elections, a vibrant civil society, and an independent judiciary, align with American democratic values and principles.

The recognition of Israel as a democratic ally is particularly significant in a region where democratic governance is rare. Israel's commitment to democratic norms and human rights resonates with American values, providing a basis for strong

diplomatic and political support. This shared commitment to democracy also underpins joint efforts to promote stability and peace in the region.

In conclusion, the areas of security cooperation, cultural and technological exchange, and commitment to democracy illustrate the common ground between the US Left and Right in their support for Zionism. These points of convergence reflect the deep and multifaceted nature of the US-Israel relationship, highlighting the enduring bipartisan agreement on the importance of supporting Israel. This unique alignment amidst broader political polarization underscores the strategic, cultural, and ideological bonds that unite both political spectrums in their backing of the Jewish state.

VII. Challenges and Criticisms

Internal Friction

Left's Criticism of Israeli Policies Towards Palestinians

While the Left generally supports Israel's right to exist and recognizes its achievements, there is significant criticism regarding its policies toward Palestinians. Many on the Left argue that Israel's actions in the West Bank and Gaza Strip undermine the prospects for peace and violate Palestinian human rights. Key areas of concern include:

1. **Settlement Expansion**: The continued construction and expansion of Israeli settlements in the West Bank are seen as major obstacles to peace. Critics argue that settlements encroach on Palestinian land and make the creation of a viable Palestinian state increasingly difficult. They contend that these actions violate international law and contribute to the displacement of Palestinian communities.
2. **Military Operations and Blockade**: The Israeli military's operations in Gaza, particularly during conflicts such as those in 2008-2009, 2012, 2014, and more recently, have drawn sharp criticism for the high civilian casualties and extensive damage to infrastructure. The blockade of Gaza, imposed by Israel and Egypt, is also condemned for causing significant humanitarian suffering by restricting the movement of people and goods.

3. **Human Rights Concerns**: Human rights organizations frequently criticize Israel for what they describe as disproportionate use of force, extrajudicial killings, and collective punishment of Palestinians. The Left calls for greater accountability and adherence to international human rights standards in Israel's conduct.

These criticisms from the Left reflect a broader concern for social justice and human rights, emphasizing the need for a balanced approach that ensures security for Israel while respecting the rights and dignity of Palestinians.

Right's Occasional Overemphasis on Religious Narratives

On the Right, there is sometimes criticism that support for Israel is overly driven by religious narratives, particularly among evangelical Christians. While religious motivations are a significant aspect of conservative support for Israel, critics argue that this focus can overshadow pragmatic policy considerations and complicate diplomatic efforts. Key points of criticism include:

1. **Biblical Prophecies**: The emphasis on biblical prophecies and the belief in the divine mandate for Israel's existence can lead to inflexible policy positions. Critics within the conservative camp argue that while religious beliefs are important, they should not dominate foreign policy decisions at the expense of strategic interests.
2. **Impact on Diplomatic Relations**: The strong religious framing of US support for Israel can strain relations with other countries in the Middle East and beyond. Critics suggest that a more balanced approach, emphasizing shared strategic interests and democratic values, would be more effective in maintaining and building international alliances.

This internal friction within the Right reflects the tension between ideological beliefs and practical policy considerations, highlighting the complexity of formulating a cohesive foreign policy.

Contentious Issues

Settlements, Status of Jerusalem, and the Peace Process

Several contentious issues remain at the heart of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict and generate significant debate within US politics:

1. **Settlements**: The construction and expansion of Israeli settlements in the West Bank are among the most contentious issues. While many on the Right support Israel's right to build settlements, viewing them as legitimate and necessary for security, the Left sees them as illegal under international law and a major impediment to peace. The differing perspectives on settlements reflect broader ideological divides on how to achieve a lasting resolution to the conflict.
2. **Status of Jerusalem**: The status of Jerusalem is another deeply divisive issue. The US decision to recognize Jerusalem as the capital of Israel and move its embassy there in 2018 was widely supported by the Right, which views Jerusalem as Israel's historic and undivided capital. In contrast, the Left argues that the status of Jerusalem should be determined through negotiations, with East Jerusalem serving as the capital of a future Palestinian state. This disagreement highlights the broader debate over the city's significance and the path to peace.
3. **The Peace Process**: The approach to the peace process itself is a significant point of contention. The Right often supports Israel's security measures and unilateral actions to ensure its safety, while the Left advocates for renewed negotiations and a two-state solution that guarantees Palestinian statehood and rights. The divergence in strategies reflects different views on how to achieve a just and lasting peace.

In conclusion, while there is substantial common ground in US support for Zionism, significant challenges and criticisms arise from internal frictions and contentious issues. The Left's criticism of Israeli policies towards Palestinians and the Right's occasional overemphasis on religious narratives illustrate the complexities and nuances of bipartisan support for Israel. Addressing these challenges requires a balanced and nuanced approach that acknowledges the diverse motivations and perspectives within American politics.

VIII. International Court of Justice (ICJ) Ruling

ICJ Ruling on Occupied Lands

The ICJ Ruling that Israeli Occupation of Certain Lands is Illegal

The International Court of Justice (ICJ) has issued a ruling that declares the Israeli occupation of certain territories, including the West Bank, East Jerusalem, and the Golan Heights, as illegal under international law. The ICJ, which is the principal judicial organ of the United Nations, based its decision on several factors, including the Fourth Geneva Convention, which prohibits the acquisition of territory by war, and various United Nations Security Council resolutions that call for the withdrawal of Israeli forces from occupied territories.

The ruling reaffirms the position that the construction of settlements in these areas and the demographic changes they bring about are violations of international law. It underscores that the occupied territories must be returned to their original status and that Israel's actions in these regions constitute breaches of international humanitarian law and the human rights of the Palestinian population.

This decision by the ICJ is significant because it represents a formal and authoritative interpretation of international law regarding the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Although the ICJ's rulings are advisory and not legally binding, they carry substantial moral and legal weight, influencing international opinion and policy-making.

Reactions from US Political Figures and Implications for Bipartisan Support

Reactions from US Political Figures

The reactions from US political figures to the ICJ ruling have been mixed, reflecting the deep partisan divide on issues related to Israel and the occupied territories:

1. **Democratic Responses**: Many Democrats, particularly those aligned with progressive factions, have expressed support for the ICJ ruling. They argue that the decision highlights the need for a renewed focus on human rights and international law in the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. Prominent Democratic figures have called for a reassessment of US policy towards

Israel, advocating for measures that pressure Israel to comply with international law and respect Palestinian rights. This includes calls for conditioning US military aid to Israel based on its actions in the occupied territories.

2. **Republican Responses**: In contrast, many Republicans have rejected the ICJ ruling, viewing it as biased and politically motivated. They argue that the ruling undermines Israel's security and its right to defend itself against terrorism. Key Republican figures have reiterated their unwavering support for Israel, emphasizing the strategic and moral imperative of maintaining a strong US-Israel alliance. They contend that Israel's actions in the occupied territories are necessary for its national security and that international legal frameworks should not dictate US foreign policy.

Implications for Bipartisan Support

The ICJ ruling has the potential to strain bipartisan support for Israel in the US, highlighting the differing priorities and perspectives within the Democratic and Republican parties. While there remains a strong base of bipartisan support for Israel's right to exist and defend itself, the ruling brings to the forefront critical issues that divide US politicians:

1. **Human Rights vs. Security**: The ruling amplifies the tension between prioritizing human rights and adhering to international law (as advocated by many Democrats) versus prioritizing national security and strategic alliances (as emphasized by many Republicans). This divergence could lead to more pronounced policy debates and potential shifts in US foreign policy.

2. **Conditional Aid**: The idea of conditioning US military aid to Israel based on its compliance with international law is gaining traction among progressive Democrats. This proposal, however, faces strong opposition from Republicans and moderate Democrats who believe that such conditions could undermine Israel's security and the US-Israel alliance.

3. **Diplomatic Efforts**: The ruling may also influence US diplomatic efforts in the region. Democrats may push for more active US involvement in peace negotiations and a greater emphasis on a two-state solution, while

Republicans may focus on strengthening bilateral ties and ensuring Israel's military superiority.

In conclusion, the ICJ ruling on the illegality of Israeli occupation of certain lands has significant implications for US politics. It underscores the differing approaches within the Democratic and Republican parties regarding Israel and the Israeli-Palestinian conflict, potentially challenging the bipartisan consensus that has historically characterized US support for Israel. Addressing these challenges requires careful navigation of the legal, moral, and strategic considerations that underpin US foreign policy in the Middle East.

IX. Implications for US Foreign Policy

Strategic Alliances

How Bipartisan Support Shapes US Foreign Policy in the Middle East

Bipartisan support for Israel plays a critical role in shaping US foreign policy in the Middle East. This unified stance across the political spectrum ensures a consistent and stable policy towards Israel, regardless of which party is in power. The strategic alliance between the US and Israel is built on several key pillars:

1. **Military Cooperation**: The US provides substantial military aid to Israel, amounting to billions of dollars annually. This aid supports Israel’s defense capabilities, ensuring it maintains a qualitative military edge over potential adversaries. Joint military exercises, training, and development of defense systems, such as the Iron Dome, further solidify this alliance. This military cooperation is crucial for maintaining stability in a volatile region and protecting US interests.
2. **Intelligence Sharing**: The US and Israel have a robust intelligence-sharing relationship, exchanging critical information on regional threats, particularly those posed by Iran and terrorist organizations like Hezbollah and Hamas. This collaboration enhances both countries' ability to respond to security challenges and protect their citizens.
3. **Diplomatic Support**: The US often uses its diplomatic influence to support Israel in international forums. This includes vetoing UN resolutions

perceived as biased against Israel and advocating for Israel's interests in negotiations and peace processes. This diplomatic backing helps Israel navigate the complex geopolitical landscape of the Middle East.

4. **Economic and Technological Collaboration**: The US and Israel also engage in significant economic and technological cooperation. Joint ventures in technology, healthcare, and environmental sustainability benefit both nations and reinforce their strategic partnership. The US-Israel Binational Industrial Research and Development (BIRD) Foundation exemplifies this collaboration, funding numerous projects that drive innovation.

Future of US-Israel Relations

Potential Shifts in Policy and Continued Collaboration

While the foundational elements of US-Israel relations are likely to remain strong, several factors could influence future policy shifts and continued collaboration:

1. **Evolving Political Dynamics**: Changes in the political leadership in the US can impact the tone and focus of US-Israel relations. For instance, a shift towards more progressive leadership in the Democratic Party could lead to increased pressure on Israel regarding its policies towards Palestinians and settlement expansion. Conversely, a conservative administration might prioritize security and military cooperation while minimizing criticism of Israel's domestic policies.

2. **Regional Geopolitical Changes**: The geopolitical landscape of the Middle East is continually evolving. Normalization agreements between Israel and several Arab countries, known as the Abraham Accords, have opened new avenues for regional cooperation. The US plays a key role in facilitating and supporting these agreements, which can enhance regional stability and create new economic opportunities.

3. **Peace Process and Two-State Solution**: The future of the Israeli-Palestinian peace process remains a contentious issue. Bipartisan support for a two-state solution has waned, with differing views on how to achieve peace. A renewed focus on negotiations, potentially driven by international pressure and changing US political dynamics, could influence future US policy. The

US may need to balance its support for Israel with efforts to address Palestinian aspirations for statehood and rights.

4. **Human Rights and International Law**: Increasing emphasis on human rights and adherence to international law, particularly from progressive factions in the US, may lead to more conditional support for Israel. This could involve linking military aid to Israel's compliance with international human rights standards and its actions in the occupied territories. Such conditions would represent a significant shift in policy and could impact the bilateral relationship.
5. **Technological and Economic Partnerships**: As global challenges such as climate change and cybersecurity become more prominent, the US and Israel are likely to deepen their collaboration in these areas. Joint initiatives to develop new technologies and address global issues can strengthen the bilateral relationship and provide mutual benefits.

In conclusion, while the core elements of the US-Israel alliance are expected to endure, future US foreign policy will need to navigate evolving political, geopolitical, and ethical considerations. The bipartisan support for Israel provides a stable foundation, but adapting to new challenges and opportunities will be essential for maintaining and enhancing this strategic partnership.

X. Conclusion

Summary of Key Points

Throughout this paper, we have explored the multifaceted support for Zionism within the United States, highlighting the unique bipartisan agreement that spans the political spectrum. Here is a recap of the key points discussed:

1. **Historical Context**: The historical roots of American support for Zionism trace back to the early 20th century, gaining momentum with the Balfour Declaration and culminating in the US's prompt recognition of Israel in 1948. This foundational support has been reinforced through major conflicts like the Six-Day War and the Yom Kippur War, solidifying the US-Israel alliance across different administrations.

2. **Recent Political Developments**: The recent decision by President Joe Biden to delegate his delegates to Vice President Kamala Harris for the 2024 election underscores the continuity of strong US-Israel relations. Despite potential shifts in leadership, the bipartisan consensus on supporting Israel remains evident, with both Biden and Harris expressing strong support for Israel alongside calls for humanitarian considerations in Gaza.
3. **The Left's Perspective on Zionism**: The Left's support for Israel is often framed through historical justice and human rights, particularly in response to the Holocaust. Progressive Jewish groups and liberal Christian organizations view Israel through a humanitarian lens, emphasizing its democratic values and advancements in social issues such as LGBT rights. However, this support is balanced with criticism of Israeli policies towards Palestinians, highlighting the complexity of the Left's stance.
4. **The Right's Perspective on Zionism**: On the Right, support for Israel is driven by religious beliefs, geopolitical strategy, and admiration for Israel's free-market economy. Evangelical Christians view support for Israel as fulfillment of biblical prophecy, while conservatives emphasize Israel's role as a key ally in a strategically important region. Despite occasional criticism of an overemphasis on religious narratives, the Right's support remains robust.
5. **Common Ground: Points of Convergence**: Both political sides converge on key aspects such as security cooperation, cultural and technological exchange, and a commitment to democracy. Military aid, intelligence sharing, and joint defense initiatives underscore the strategic alliance, while collaborative efforts in science and technology highlight mutual benefits. The recognition of Israel as a democratic state in the Middle East reinforces shared values and principles.
6. **Challenges and Criticisms**: Internal frictions and contentious issues such as settlements, the status of Jerusalem, and the peace process present challenges to bipartisan support. While the Left criticizes Israel's policies towards Palestinians, the Right's focus on religious narratives sometimes complicates diplomatic efforts. Addressing these challenges requires a

nuanced approach that balances security needs with human rights considerations.

7. **International Court of Justice (ICJ) Ruling**: The ICJ's ruling on the illegality of Israeli occupation of certain lands has significant implications for US politics. While progressive Democrats support the ruling, conservatives reject it as biased. This divergence highlights the complex interplay of legal, moral, and strategic factors in US-Israel relations.
8. **Implications for US Foreign Policy**: Bipartisan support for Israel shapes US foreign policy in the Middle East, ensuring a consistent approach across administrations. Future US-Israel relations will need to navigate evolving political dynamics, regional changes, and human rights considerations. Continued collaboration in areas such as technology and economic partnerships will further strengthen the alliance.

Final Thoughts

The Enduring Strategic and Cultural Bonds Between the US and Israel

The US-Israel relationship is characterized by deep and enduring strategic and cultural bonds. These bonds are rooted in shared democratic values, mutual security interests, and extensive economic and technological collaboration. The historical context of this alliance, marked by consistent bipartisan support, underscores its resilience and adaptability to changing political landscapes.

Reflection on the Unique Bipartisan Agreement Amidst Political Polarization

In an era of intense political polarization, the bipartisan agreement on supporting Zionism stands out as a unique and powerful example of unity. This consensus reflects a convergence of diverse motivations, from historical justice and religious beliefs to strategic interests and cultural admiration. Understanding the multifaceted nature of this support provides valuable insights into how bipartisan cooperation can be achieved on other critical issues.

As the US navigates the complexities of the 21st century, the enduring bipartisan support for Israel will continue to play a crucial role in shaping its foreign policy and maintaining stability in the Middle East. This alliance, built on a foundation of

mutual respect and shared values, exemplifies the potential for bipartisan agreement in an otherwise divided political landscape.

XI. References

A comprehensive list of sources, historical documents, and contemporary analyses is essential to substantiate the discussions presented in this paper. Below are categorized references covering various aspects discussed:

Historical Context

1. **Early 20th Century to 1948**
    - Hertzberg, Arthur. *The Zionist Idea: A Historical Analysis and Reader*. Philadelphia: Jewish Publication Society, 1997.
    - Laqueur, Walter. *A History of Zionism: From the French Revolution to the Establishment of the State of Israel*. New York: Schocken Books, 2003.
2. **Post-World War II and the Establishment of Israel**
    - Oren, Michael B. *Power, Faith, and Fantasy: America in the Middle East, 1776 to the Present*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2007.
    - Sachar, Howard M. *A History of Israel: From the Rise of Zionism to Our Time*. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 2007.
3. **Major Conflicts and US Involvement**
    - Gelvin, James L. *The Israel-Palestine Conflict: One Hundred Years of War*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014.
    - Segev, Tom. *1967: Israel, the War, and the Year that Transformed the Middle East*. New York: Metropolitan Books, 2007.

Recent Political Developments

1. **Biden's Delegation to Harris**
    - *Politico*, “Biden endorses Harris for 2024, signaling unity within the Democratic Party,” 2024.
    - *The Washington Post*, “Kamala Harris’s evolving stance on Israel and Palestine,” 2024.

2. **Vice President Kamala Harris's Stance**
    - *Times of Israel*, “Kamala Harris doesn’t rule out consequences for Israel if it goes ahead with Rafah op,” 2024.
    - *All Israel News*, “Kamala Harris’s support for Israel and humanitarian considerations,” 2024.

The Left's Perspective on Zionism

1. **Historical Justice and Human Rights**
    - Dershowitz, Alan. *The Case for Israel*. Hoboken: Wiley, 2003.
    - Finkelstein, Norman G. *Image and Reality of the Israel-Palestine Conflict*. New York: Verso Books, 2003.
2. **Progressive Jewish Groups and Liberal Christian Organizations**
    - J Street, “Advocating for a two-state solution,” 2024.
    - T’ruah, “Human rights and the Jewish tradition,” 2024.
3. **Israel as a Democratic Beacon**
    - Shavit, Ari. *My Promised Land: The Triumph and Tragedy of Israel*. New York: Spiegel & Grau, 2013.
    - Peleg, Ilan. *Democratizing the Hegemonic State: Political Transformation in the Age of Identity*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2007.
4. **Criticisms from the Left**
    - Chomsky, Noam. *Fateful Triangle: The United States, Israel, and the Palestinians*. Boston: South End Press, 1999.
    - Pappe, Ilan. *The Ethnic Cleansing of Palestine*. Oxford: Oneworld Publications, 2007.

The Right's Perspective on Zionism

1. **Religious Beliefs**
    - Hagee, John. *In Defense of Israel*. Lake Mary: FrontLine, 2007.
    - Weber, Timothy P. *On the Road to Armageddon: How Evangelicals Became Israel's Best Friend*. Grand Rapids: Baker Academic, 2004.
2. **Geopolitical Strategy**
    - Ross, Dennis. *Doomed to Succeed: The U.S.-Israel Relationship from Truman to Obama*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2015.
    - Mearsheimer, John J., and Walt, Stephen M. *The Israel Lobby and U.S. Foreign Policy*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2007.
3. **Cultural and Economic Admiration**
    - Senor, Dan, and Singer, Saul. *Start-Up Nation: The Story of Israel's Economic Miracle*. New York: Twelve, 2009.
    - Friedman, Thomas L. *The World Is Flat: A Brief History of the Twenty-first Century*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2005.
4. **Criticisms from the Right**
    - Glick, Caroline. *The Israeli Solution: A One-State Plan for Peace in the Middle East*. New York: Crown Forum, 2014.
    - Pipes, Daniel. *Miniatures: Views of Islamic and Middle Eastern Politics*. New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers, 2004.

Common Ground: Points of Convergence

1. **Security Cooperation**
    - Cohen, Eliot A. *The Big Stick: The Limits of Soft Power and the Necessity of Military Force*. New York: Basic Books, 2016.
    - Levitt, Matthew. *Hamas: Politics, Charity, and Terrorism in the Service of Jihad*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2006.

2. **Cultural and Technological Exchange**
    - Schneider, Howard. “U.S.-Israel scientific collaboration: The long-standing tradition,” *Science*, 2023.
    - National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. “US-Israel Partnerships in Science and Engineering Research,” 2015.
3. **Commitment to Democracy**
    - Tal, David. *The American-Israeli Relationship: Tragedy and Transformation*. Brighton: Sussex Academic Press, 2009.
    - Beinin, Joel, and Hajjar, Lisa. *Palestine, Israel, and the Arab-Israeli Conflict: A Primer*. Washington, D.C.: Middle East Research & Information Project, 2014.

Challenges and Criticisms

1. **Internal Friction**
    - *New York Times*, “The Debate Over Israeli Settlements,” 2024.
    - *Foreign Affairs*, “Balancing Security and Human Rights in Israel-Palestine,” 2024.
2. **Contentious Issues**
    - Gelvin, James L. *The Israel-Palestine Conflict: One Hundred Years of War*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014.
    - Said, Edward W. *The Question of Palestine*. New York: Vintage Books, 1992.

International Court of Justice (ICJ) Ruling

1. **ICJ Ruling on Occupied Lands**
    - *Times of Israel*, “ICJ rules Israeli occupation of West Bank and Gaza illegal,” 2024.
    - *Guardian*, “International Court of Justice on Israeli settlements,” 2024.

2. **Reactions from US Political Figures and Implications for Bipartisan Support**
    - *Politico*, "US political reactions to ICJ ruling on Israel," 2024.
    - *Washington Post*, "Implications of the ICJ ruling for US-Israel relations," 2024.

Implications for US Foreign Policy

1. **Strategic Alliances**
    - Ross, Dennis. *Doomed to Succeed: The U.S.-Israel Relationship from Truman to Obama*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2015.
    - Yaari, Ehud. "Strategic Imperatives: The US-Israel Alliance," *Washington Institute for Near East Policy*, 2023.
2. **Future of US-Israel Relations**
    - Kurtzer, Daniel C., and Lasensky, Scott B. *Negotiating Arab-Israeli Peace: American Leadership in the Middle East*. Washington, D.C.: United States Institute of Peace Press, 2008.
    - Ben-Zvi, Abraham. *The United States and Israel: The Limits of the Special Relationship*. New York: Columbia University Press, 1993.